

Specie VIII (the specifics being the holes formed in the protective film along with the special configuration of the light transmitting conductive layer at the crossing portions);

such requirement is traversed as being improper, in that applicants submit that generic claims are present in this application, contrary to the position set forth by the Examiner.

Although the Examiner contends that currently "none are generic" applicants submit that independent claims 1, 11 and 15 are necessarily generic claims or sub-generic to more than one of the species identified by the Examiner. Since the independent claims have not been rejected over any cited art, applicants submit that such claims are allowable, and as recognized by the Examiner, upon allowance of a generic claim, claims directed to other species should be considered. As such, applicants request withdrawal of the election requirement.

In order to provide a complete response to the election requirement, applicants provisionally elect, with traverse, Specie III, as identified by the Examiner (Figs. 6A and 6B) and in addition to independent generic claims 1, 11 and 15, applicants submit that claims 2, 3, 9, 10, 12, 13, 16, 19 and 21 are readable on the elected specie.

For the foregoing reasons, applicants request withdrawal of the election requirement and favorable action with respect to all claims present in this application.

To the extent necessary, applicants petition for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136. Please charge any shortage in the fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to the deposit account of Antonelli,

Terry, Stout & Kraus, LLP, Deposit Account No. 01-2135 (Case: 501.42956X00),  
and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP



---

Melvin Kraus  
Registration No. 22,466

MK/jla  
(703) 312-6600